

From: [Sivak, Michael](#)
To: [Cox-Tramel, Diann](#)
Subject: RE: EI report for Mega sites to review
Date: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 11:31:00 AM

Diann,

You are indeed correct that additional sampling is being conducted to delineate the edges of contamination at the landfill. This will likely result in an addendum to the HHRA, but I don't anticipate the results of the HHRA to change, even with this new info.

If we need the bean for HEUC, then I think this one can go. Otherwise, we can wait until the sampling is completed.

What do you prefer?

Michael Sivak

212.637.4310

From: Cox-Tramel, Diann
Sent: Tuesday, May 26, 2015 9:35 AM
To: Sivak, Michael
Subject: RE: EI report for Mega sites to review

Thanks Michael..

Question, For Rolling Knolls, I thought the RI is not complete and you are doing additional sampling/

Is this correct? If so, how can we make a final determination?

Thanks

Regards,

Diann Tramel



From: Sivak, Michael
Sent: Friday, May 22, 2015 11:31 AM
To: Cox-Tramel, Diann
Subject: RE: EI report for Mega sites to review

Diann,

Here are my notes for these reports:

Groudwater:

1. Corozal and Cabo Rojo are not in my group. I believe they are in CEPD – Ramon's section.
2. Curtis: We are about to sign a ROD for groundwater only. The plumes are delineated. I believe this can be flipped to "GWUC"
3. Raritan Bay Slag: There is no groundwater contamination at Raritan Bay Slag. The ROD does not have a groundwater component for groundwater. I'm not sure what the EI category is for this.

4. Shiledalloy: I'm not sure what "GWNC" means. I think this should be "ID" – we need to conduct an RI for the perchlorate contamination in OU3, so we don't know.

Human Exposure:

1. Corozal and Cabo Rojo are not in my group. I believe they are in CEPD – Ramon's section.
2. Column I of this report lists "GMID" – I think this is groundwater? If so, the report is wrong, since Column F is HE.
3. Rolling Knolls: We will flip this to "HEUC". You sent me the form, and I will ask Tanya to fill it out and return it to you.
4. Standard Chlorine: I thought Alison filled out the form to switch this to "HEUC." The HHRA is complete.
5. Crown Vantage: We're deleting this, so I'm thinking that it can be flipped to "HHPA".

Any questions, please let me know.

Michael Sivak

212.637.4310

From: Cox-Tramel, Diann

Sent: Tuesday, May 12, 2015 11:51 AM

To: Sivak, Michael

Subject: EI report for Mega sites to review

Hi Michael..

Here is the EI report for your special projects section, I have sorted by category for ease, one for HE and the other GW. Please review the sites and mark up any changes to the statuses directly on the report. If there is a change that causes the status to go back, (i.e., HEUC – HENUC) please let me know and we will have to have a form filled out and signed for this. Once you have reviewed your sites, please sign and date this document. I will use these reports as turnaround documents for data entry and documentation. If you have any questions, let me know.

Thanks!

Regards,

Diann Tramel

